1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 | 1

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAVID VILLEGAS,

Petitioner,

VS.

WARDEN HAROLD WICKHAM, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00032-HDM-VPC

ORDER

Petitioner has paid the filing fee. The court has reviewed his petition pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. The court will serve the petition upon respondents for a response, but the court adds a comment.

Petitioner states at page 1 of the petition that he mailed, or handed to a correctional officer, the petition on December 14, 2015. On January 25, 2016, the court received the petition, the application to proceed in forma pauperis, the motion to file extra pages on the grounds for relief, and the motion for appointment of counsel, all in the same envelope. In the application to proceed in forma pauperis, the statement of petitioner's account is dated January 11, 2016, and the financial certificate is dated January 12, 2016. Petitioner has dated his motion to file extra pages on his grounds for relief on January 20, 2016. Petitioner could not have mailed his petition to this court earlier than January 20, 2016.

The court grants petitioner's motion to file extra pages on the grounds for relief.

Whenever the court determines that the interests of justice so require, counsel may be appointed to any financially eligible person who is seeking habeas corpus relief. 18 U.S.C.

8

1011

1213

1415

1617

18 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

§ 3006A(a)(2)(B). "[T]he district court must evaluate the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims <u>pro se</u> in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952 (9th Cir. 1983). There is no constitutional right to counsel in federal habeas proceedings. McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991). The factors to consider are not separate from the underlying claims, but are intrinsically enmeshed with them. Weygandt, 718 F.2d at 954. After reviewing the petition, the court finds that appointment of counsel is not warranted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall file the petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, the motion to file extra pages on the grounds for relief and the motion for appointment of counsel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to file extra pages on the grounds for relief is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall add Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall electronically serve upon respondents a copy of the petition and this order. In addition, the clerk shall return to petitioner a copy of the petition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from the date on which the petition was served to answer or otherwise respond to the petition. Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including untimeliness, lack of exhaustion, and procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If respondents file and serve an answer, then they shall comply with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and then petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date on which the answer is served to file a reply. If respondents file a motion, then the briefing schedule of Local Rule LR 7-2 shall apply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any exhibits filed by the parties shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The CM/ECF attachments

Case 3:16-cv-00032-HDM-VPC Document 8 Filed 05/02/16 Page 3 of 3

that are filed further shall be identified by the number or numbers (or letter or letters) of the exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be forwarded—for this case—to the staff attorneys in Las Vegas.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon respondents or, if appearance has been entered by counsel, upon the attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the respondents or counsel for the respondents. The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge or magistrate judge that has not been filed with the clerk, and any paper received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the clerk that fails to include a certificate of service.

Howard DM: Killer

HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN United States District Judge

DATED: May 2, 2016.

-3-